

Case Study of EarthLinked® Heat Pump In Hardware Store - Metered by Public Service Company of New Hampshire



Project Year: 2007

Location: Goffstown, New Hampshire U.S.A.



A 21,000 square foot Ace Hardware store in the northeastern U.S. is saving an average of more than \$1,000 per month in heating and cooling costs by using six 6-ton EarthLinked systems. As fossil fuel prices rise to unprecedented levels, the new store is spending an average of \$330 per month or approximately \$0.10 per square foot for heating.

The system is separately metered for monitoring purposes by Public Service Company of New Hampshire, who recommended that the building owner Larry Brown consider a geothermal heat pump as he was planning construction.

“On an ongoing basis, our heating and cooling costs are much lower. We’re not going to be burning fossil fuels or contributing to global warming concerns,” said Brown.

The energy and environmental savings projected by Public Service Company of New Hampshire are:

Estimated Energy Savings

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 138,000 \text{ kWh} & \times & 25\text{-year measure life} & = & 3,450,000 \text{ lifetime kWh savings} \\
 \times \ \$0.10563 \text{ per kWh} & & & & \ \$ \ 0.10563 \text{ per kWh} \\
 \$ \ 14,577 \text{ annual energy savings} & & & & \$ \ 364,424 \text{ lifetime energy savings}
 \end{array}$$

Reduced Oil Consumption

$$138,000 \text{ kWh} \quad \times \quad 0.069 \text{ gallons of oil/kWh} \quad = \quad 9,522 \text{ gallons/year}$$

Reduced Power Plant Emissions

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{CO}_2 \text{ (a "greenhouse" gas)} \\
 138,000 \text{ kWh} \times 0.897 \text{ lbs/kWh} = 123,786 \text{ lbs/year}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{SO}_2 \text{ (a "greenhouse" gas and cause of} \\
 \text{acid rain)} \\
 138,000 \text{ kWh} \times 0.004 \text{ lbs/kWh} = 522 \text{ lbs/year}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{NO}_x \text{ (a "greenhouse" gas and a cause} \\
 \text{of acid rain)} \\
 138,000 \text{ kWh} \times 0.0015 \text{ lbs/kWh} = 207 \text{ lbs/year}
 \end{array}$$

The above calculations assume fossil fuels are burned to provide incremental energy to the PSNH distribution system. Nuclear power plants provide baseload energy and their operation would not be affected by this energy efficiency project.